## **INSTITUTIONAL PERFORMANCE RATING FOR 1998-99**

Institution: Central Carolina Technical College Sector: Technical & Comprehensive Education System

Approved by the South Carolina Commission on Higher Education, May 6, 1999 For additional information see www.che400.state.sc.us or call 803.737.2260

Overall Institutional Performance

## **EXCEEDS STANDARDS**

Institutions scoring within the same performance category are considered to be performing similarly. Performance Scale = Substantially Exceeds, 2.85-3.00; Exceeds, 2.60-2.84; Achieves, 2.00-2.59; Does Not Achieve, 1.45-1.99; Substantially Does Not Achieve, 1.00-1.44. (See Note 1 below for information on deriving the overall score.)

PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES Critical Success Factor followed by related Performance Indicators. Please note that the		Approved Benchmark  Institution's Individual			Sector Benchmark  Common Goal for	Institution's	Performance Indic	res: Exceeds
applicability of individual indicators varies by sector and institution. For indicator definitions, contact the Commission.		Performance Goal Plus or Minus 1% of the Goal			Institutions in the Same Sector	Performance	institutional goal or at or above sector goal =3; Achieves institutional goal =2; Does not achieve institutional goal =1.	
	Mission Focus							
1A	Expenditures to Achieve Mission (Instruction)	54.5%	to	55.6%	None	55.1%	Achieves	2.00
	Curricula	99%	to	101%	100%	100%	Exceeds	3.00
1C	Mission Statement	Cor	mplia	nce	YES	YES	N/A	no score
	Adoption of a Strategic Plan		mplia		YES	YES	N/A	no score
	Attainment of Strategic Plan Goals	Cor	mplia	nce	YES	YES	N/A	no score
	Quality of Faculty							
	Academic and Other Faculty Credentials	Avg. of s	_		100.00/	100.00/	Exceeds	3.0
	Faculty Meeting SACS Requirements Teaching Faculty Exceeding SACS	99.0% 5%	to to	101.0% 5%	100.0% None	100.0% 32%	Exceeds Exceeds	3
	Performance Review for Faculty	99%	to	101%	100%	100%	Exceeds	3.0
	Faculty Post-Tenure Review	N/A	to	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/.
	Faculty Compensation	\$32,652	to	\$33,312	\$44,911	\$33,428	Exceeds	3.0
	Availability of Faculty Outside of Class	Avg. of s	subpa		•		N/A	2.5
1 '	% faculty rated satisfied on availibility	Scale: 90-	100%	=3, 80-899	%=2, below 80%=1	87%	Achieves	2
	% students satisfied with advisor availibility				%=2, below 80%=1	93%	Exceeds	3
	Faculty Community & Service Activities	Cor	mplia	nce	YES	YES	N/A	no score
	Instructional Quality							
	Class Size & Student/Teacher Ratios	Avg. of s					Exceeds	3.0
	Lower Division Class Size	16.8	to	17.2	16-21	16.6	Exceeds	3
	Upper Division Class Size	N/A	to	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>N/A</i> 3
	FTE Students per FTE Teaching Faculty Credit Hours Taught by Full-time Faculty	16.8 198	to to	17.2 202	16-18 None	16.2 270	Exceeds Exceeds	3.0
	Full-time Faculty to Full-time Employees	42.6%	to	43.4%	40.1%	46.6%	Exceeds	3.0
	Accreditation of Degree Granting Programs	59%	to	61%	100%	60%	Achieves	2.0
	Emphasis on Quality Teacher Education	N/A	to	N/A			N/A	N/
	NCATE Accreditation	N/A	to	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2a 1	% Students Passing NTE -Prof. Knowledge	N/A	to	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	% Students Passing NTE -Specialty Area	N/A	to	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	% of Graduates in Critical Shortage Areas	N/A	to	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	% Minority Teacher Education Graduates	N/A	to	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
IV. I	Institutional Cooperation and Collai	oration						
			-		1.450		****	
4A :	Sharing & Use of Technology, etc.,	Cor	mplia		YES VES	YES	N/A	
4A 3	Collaboration with Private Industry	Cor	mplia: mplia:		YES YES	YES YES	N/A N/A	no scor
4A S 4B G	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency	Cor	mplia	nce			N/A	no scor
4A 3 4B 0 V. 2	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs	Cor Cor Avg. of s	mplia: subpa	rt scores	YES	YES	N/A Exceeds	no scor
4A 3 4B 0 V. 2 5A 7	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs	Cor Cor Avg. of s	mpliai subpa	rt scores 13.6%	YES 14.4%	YES 12.7%	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds	3.00
4A 3 4B 0 V. 5A 7 1 7	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs	Cor Cor Avg. of s	mplia: subpa	rt scores	YES	YES	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Exceeds	no scor
4A 3 4B 0 7. 2 5A 7 2 7 5B 0	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs	Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84%	mpliar subpa to to	rt scores 13.6% 70.3% 86%	YES 14.4% 65.0%	YES 12.7% 69.9%	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds	3.00 3.00 3.00 2.0
4A 3 4B 0 V. 2 5A 7 5B 0 5C 1	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices	Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84%	subpa to to	rt scores 13.6% 70.3% 86%	YES 14.4% 65.0% 100%	12.7% 69.9% 85%	Exceeds Exceeds Exceeds Achieves	3.00 3.00 3.00 2.0 no scor
4A 3 4B 0 7. 2 5A 7 2 7 5B 0 5C 1 5D 0	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication	Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84%	subpa to to to mplia	rt scores 13.6% 70.3% 86%	YES 14.4% 65.0% 100% YES	12.7% 69.9% 85% YES	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A	3.00 3.00 3.00 2.0 no scor
4A 3 4B 0 7. 2 5A 7 5B 0 5C 1 5D 0	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student	Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84%	subpa to to to mplia	rt scores 13.6% 70.3% 86%	YES 14.4% 65.0% 100% YES	12.7% 69.9% 85% YES	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A	3.00 3.00 3.00 2.0 no scor 3.0
4A 3 4B 0 7. 2 5A 7 5B 1 5C 1 5D 0 71. 4 6A 3 6B 1	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials	Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84% Coi \$599 N/A N/A	subpa to to mplian to to to	rt scores 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A	YES  12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A	Exceeds Exceeds Achieves N/A Exceeds N/A N/A N/A	3.00 3.00 3.00 2.0 no scoil 3.0
4A S 4B O V. 2 5A / 5B O 5C O 5D O VI. 6 6B O 6C O	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students	Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84% Coi \$599 N/A N/A	subpa to to mplian to to mplian to mplian	rt scores 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611 N/A N/A	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES	12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439 N/A N/A YES	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A	3.00 3.00 2.0 no scor 3.0 N// N//
4A 3 4B 0 V. 7 5A 7 5B 0 5C 0 5D 0 VI. 7 6A 3 6B 0 6C 1 6D 0	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students  In-State Student Enrollment	Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84% Coi \$599 N/A N/A	subpa to to to mplian to to	rt scores 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A	YES  12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A	Exceeds Exceeds Achieves N/A Exceeds N/A N/A N/A	3.00 3.00 2.0 no scor 3.0 N// N//
4A S 4B O 7. 7 5A 7 2 7 5B O 5C O 5D O 71. 6A S 6B O 6C O 6D O 711.	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students  In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievements	Cor Cor Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84% Cor \$599 N/A N/A Cor N/A	subpa to to to mplian to to to mplian to	rt scores 13.6% 70.3% 86% ace \$611 N/A N/A	14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869 N/A N/A YES N/A	YES  12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A	3.00 3.00 3.00 2.0 no scor 3.0 N/ N/ no scor N/
4A S 4B O V. A 5A A 2 A 5B O 5C O 5D O VI. A 6A S 6B O 6C O 6D O VII. O 7A O	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students  In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievements  Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)	Cor Cor Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84% Cor \$599 N/A N/A Cor N/A	subpa to to to mplian to to to to to to to to to	rt scores 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611 N/A N/A nce N/A	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES N/A N/A NONE	12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A 10.6%	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  Does Not Achieve	no scor 3.00 3.00 2.0 no scor 3.0 N/ N/, no scor N/
4A 3 4B 6 7	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students  In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievements  Graduaton Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)  Employment Rate for Graduates	Con Con Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84% Con \$599 N/A N/A Con N/A	subpa to to to mplian to to mplian to mplian to mplian	71 scores 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611 N/A N/A nce N/A	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A N/A YES N/A N/A N/A YES	12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A  10.6% YES	Exceeds Exceeds Achieves N/A Exceeds  N/A N/A N/A N/A Does Not Achieve	3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
4A 3 4B	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students  In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievement  Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)  Employment Rate for Graduates  Employer Feedback on Graduates	Con Con Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84% Con \$599 N/A N/A Con N/A	mplian  subpa to to to to to to to to mplian to  to mplian mplian mplian mplian mplian	rt scores 13.6% 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611  N/A N/A nce N/A 12.1% nce	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES N/A  None YES YES	YES  12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A  10.6% YES YES	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  Does Not Achieve  N/A  N/A	3.00 3.00 3.00 2.0 no scor 3.0 N/, N/, no scor N/, 1.0 no scor
4A 3 4B	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students  In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievements  Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)  Employment Rate for Graduates  Employer Feedback on Graduates  % Passing Professional Exams	Con Con Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84% Con \$599 N/A N/A Con N/A Con Con Con 94.1%	subpa to mplian to to to mplian to to	rt scores 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611  N/A N/A 12.1% nce nce 96.0%	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES N/A  None YES YES None	12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A  10.6% YES YES 98.0%	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  Does Not Achieve  N/A  N/A  N/A  Exceeds	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
4A 3 4B	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students  In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievement  Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)  Employment Rate for Graduates  Employer Feedback on Graduates	Con Con Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84% Con \$599 N/A N/A Con N/A	mplian  subpa to to to to to to to to mplian to  to mplian mplian mplian mplian mplian	rt scores 13.6% 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611  N/A N/A nce N/A 12.1% nce	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES N/A  None YES YES	YES  12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A  10.6% YES YES	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  Does Not Achieve  N/A  N/A	3.00 3.00 3.00 2.0 no scor 3.0 N// N// no scor N/ 1.0 no scor no scor 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0
4A 3 4B (	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students  In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievements  Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)  Employment Rate for Graduates  Employer Feedback on Graduates  My Passing Professional Exams  Graduates Continuing Education in SC  Credit Hours Earned of Graduates	Cor   Cor	mplian to to to to to to to to to mplian to to mplian to to to mplian to to	rt scores 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611  N/A N/A nce N/A 12.1% nce 96.0% 8%	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES N/A  None YES YES None None	12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A 10.6% YES 98.0% 47%	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  Does Not Achieve  N/A  N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
4A : 4B :	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students  In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievements  Graduates' Achievements  Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)  Employment Rate for Graduates  Employer Feedback on Graduates  We Passing Professional Exams  Graduates Continuing Education in SC  Credit Hours Earned of Graduates  User-Friendliness of Institution	Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84% Coi \$599  N/A N/A Coi N/A Coi 94.1% 8% N/A	subpa to to to mplian to to to mplian to to to mplian to to to mplian to	nce 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611  N/A N/A N/A 12.1% nce nce 96.0% 8% N/A	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES N/A  None YES YES None None N/A	12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A  10.6% YES YES 98.0% 47% N/A	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  Does Not Achieve  N/A  N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds	3.00 3.00 2.0 no scor 3.0 N/, N/, no scor no scor no scor no scor no scor
4A 3 4B 4A 5 4B 5 4B 5 5 4B 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students  In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievements  Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)  Employment Rate for Graduates  Employer Feedback on Graduates  My Passing Professional Exams  Graduates Continuing Education in SC  Credit Hours Earned of Graduates	Cor   Cor	mplian to to to to to to to to to mplian to to mplian to to to mplian to to	rt scores 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611  N/A N/A nce N/A 12.1% nce 96.0% 8%	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES N/A  None YES YES None None	12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A 10.6% YES 98.0% 47%	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  Does Not Achieve  N/A  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/	1.00 scot no s
4A : 4B (4	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students  In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievement  Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)  Employment Rate for Graduates  Employer Feedback on Graduates  Employer Feedback on Graduates  Graduates Continuing Education in SC  Credit Hours Earned of Graduates  User-Friendliness of Institution  Credit Transfer to and from Institution	Con Con Con Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84% Con \$599 N/A N/A Con N/A Con Con 94.1% 8% N/A	subpa to to to to to mpliar to	nce	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES N/A  None YES YES None None None None None None None	YES  12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A  10.6% YES YES 98.0% 47% N/A	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/	1.00 scot no s
4A 4B	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Use of Best Management Practices Elimination of Waste & Duplication General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body Entering Freshmen High School Credentials Non-Academic Achievements of Students In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievements  Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time) Employment Rate for Graduates Employer Feedback on Graduates  % Passing Professional Exams Graduates Continuing Education in SC Credit Hours Earned of Graduates  User-Friendliness of Institution Credit Transfer to and from Institution Continuing Education Units Accessibility of Institution to SC Citizens  Percentage of Other Race Students	Con Con Con Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84% Con N/A Con N/A Con Con 94.1% 8% N/A N/A Avg. of s 37.6%	subpa to to to to mplian to to to mplian to to to to mplian to	nce 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611 N/A N/A nce N/A 12.1% nce 96.0% 8% N/A 101% 43,200 rt scores 38.4%	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES N/A  None YES YES None None None N/A  100% None 40.6%	YES  12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A  10.6% YES 98.0% 47% N/A  100% 46,000	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  Achieves  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  Does Not Achieve  N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  Exceeds  Exceeds  Exceeds	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
4A 4B 4B 4B 6B 6B 6B 6B 7F 6B 7F 6B 8B 6B 6B 7F 7F 6B 8B 6B 7F 7F 6B 8B 6B 7F 7F 6B 8B 8B 6B 7F 7F 6B 8B 8B 7F	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency Administrative & Academic Costs Percentage of Administrative Costs Percentage of Administrative Costs Use of Best Management Practices Elimination of Waste & Duplication General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student Entrance Requirements SAT Scores of Student Body Entering Freshmen High School Credentials Non-Academic Achievements of Students In-State Student Enrollment Graduates' Achievements Graduates Graduates Employment Rate for Graduates Employer Feedback on Graduates Employer Feedback on Graduates Graduates Continuing Education in SC Credit Hours Earned of Graduates User-Friendliness of Institution Credit Transfer to and from Institution Continuing Education Units Accessibility of Institution to SC Citizens Percentage of Other Race Students Other /Non-Other Race Retention Ratio	Con Con Con Avg. of s 13.4% 68.9% 84% Con \$599 N/A N/A Con N/A Con Con 94.1% 8% N/A N/A Con Con 94.1% 8% N/A Son Son Son Son Son Son Son Son Son Son	subpa to to to mplian to	nce 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611  N/A N/A N/A 12.1% nce nce 96.0% 8% N/A  101% 43,200 rt scores	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES N/A  None YES YES None None None None None None None	12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A  10.6% YES 98.0% 47% N/A  100% 46,000  39.3% 94.9%	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  Does Not Achieve  N/A  Exceeds  Does Not Achieve	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
4A 4B	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students  In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievement  Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)  Employment Rate for Graduates  Employer Feedback on Graduates  Employer Feedback on Graduates  Graduates Continuing Education in SC  Credit Hours Earned of Graduates  User-Friendliness of Institution  Continuing Education Units  Accessibility of Institution to SC Citizens  Percentage of Other Race Students  Other /Non-Other Race Retention Ratio  Other Race Retention	Con	mplian to to to to mplian to	nce 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611 N/A N/A nce N/A 12.1% nce 96.0% 8% N/A 101% 43,200 rt scores 38.4% 97.2% able)	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES N/A  None YES YES None None None N/A  100% None 40.6%	YES  12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A  10.6% YES YES 98.0% 47% N/A  100% 46,000  39.3% 94.9% 48.0%	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  Does Not Achieve  N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  Exceeds  Achieves  Exceeds  N/A	1.00 no scot n
4A 3 4B 6 7	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency Administrative Efficiency Administrative & Academic Costs Percentage of Administrative Costs Percentage of Academic Costs Use of Best Management Practices Elimination of Waste & Duplication General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements SAT Scores of Student Body Entering Freshmen High School Credentials Non-Academic Achievements of Students In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievements Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time) Employment Rate for Graduates Employer Feedback on Graduates Employer Feedback on Graduates We Passing Professional Exams Graduates Continuing Education in SC Credit Hours Earned of Graduates  User-Friendliness of Institution Continuing Education Units Accessibility of Institution to SC Citizens Percentage of Other Race Students Other Non-Other Race Retention Non-Other Race Retention	Con	subpa to to to mplian to	nce 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611 N/A N/A nce N/A 12.1% nce 96.0% 8% N/A 101% 43,200 rt scores 38.4% 97.2% able)	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES N/A  None YES YES None None None N/A  100% None 40.6%	12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A  10.6% YES 98.0% 47% N/A  100% 46,000  39.3% 94.9%	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  Does Not Achieve  N/A  Exceeds  Does Not Achieve	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
4A 4B	Collaboration with Private Industry  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative Efficiency  Administrative & Academic Costs  Percentage of Administrative Costs  Percentage of Academic Costs  Use of Best Management Practices  Elimination of Waste & Duplication  General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student  Entrance Requirements  SAT Scores of Student Body  Entering Freshmen High School Credentials  Non-Academic Achievements of Students  In-State Student Enrollment  Graduates' Achievement  Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)  Employment Rate for Graduates  Employer Feedback on Graduates  Employer Feedback on Graduates  Graduates Continuing Education in SC  Credit Hours Earned of Graduates  User-Friendliness of Institution  Continuing Education Units  Accessibility of Institution to SC Citizens  Percentage of Other Race Students  Other /Non-Other Race Retention Ratio  Other Race Retention	Con	mplian to to to to mplian to	nce 13.6% 70.3% 86% nce \$611 N/A N/A nce N/A 12.1% nce 96.0% 8% N/A 101% 43,200 rt scores 38.4% 97.2% able)	YES  14.4% 65.0% 100% YES \$869  N/A N/A YES N/A  None YES YES None None None N/A  100% None 40.6%	YES  12.7% 69.9% 85% YES \$439  N/A N/A YES N/A  10.6% YES YES 98.0% 47% N/A  100% 46,000  39.3% 94.9% 48.0%	N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  Exceeds  N/A  N/A  N/A  Does Not Achieve  N/A  Exceeds  Exceeds  Exceeds  Exceeds  Achieves  Exceeds  Achieves  Exceeds  N/A	1.00 no scor n

SUMMARY: Category of overall institution performance is EXCEEDS. (See note 2.) The number of applicable indicators varies by sector and institution. For this institution, 19 indicators are included in the overall score. The institution's overall numeric score that determines the performance category is 2.66 or 89% of 3, expressing the overall numeric score as a percent of the total possible. (See note 1.)

NOTE 1: The overall numeric score is calculated by averaging the scores for each indicator (i.e., scores in the final column, not shadec and right aligned). For indicators with multiple subparts, the subpart scores (i.e., scores in the last column, shaded and left aligned) are averaged to derive a score for the indicator. The percent shown is derived by dividing the overall numeric score by three

NOTE 2: Institutions scoring within the same category are considered to be performing similarly under SC's performance system